

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,293	09/17/2003	Ciprian Agapi	BOC9-2003-0062 (435)	4561
40987 7590 09/20/2007 AKERMAN SENTERFITT			EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 318			MUHEBBULLAH, SAJEDA	
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2174	* ***
		•	<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/664,293	AGAPI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sajeda Muhebbullah	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE	)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCE/Amendment filed on7/2/07</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 10-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 10-16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) Other:						

€,

Art Unit: 2174

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This communication is responsive to RCE/Amendment filed 07/02/2007.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-8 and 10-16 are pending in this application. Claims 1-2, 5-7, 11-12 and 14-16 have been amended.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-8, 10, 12-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Leary et al. ("O'Leary", US 5,867,162) in view of Sinclair et al. ("Sinclair", US 2005/0010693) and further in view of Siefert et al. ("Siefert", US 5,726,688).

As per claim 1, O'Leary teaches a method of arranging user-modified variable names in a presentation list (O'Leary, Fig.3; col.2, lines 28-31), comprising the steps of:

receiving a system request to display variables in the presentation list (O'Leary, Fig.3, *list* 300; col.3, lines 28-29, *clicking File option displays presentation list*);

sorting the variables according to a first attribute and then sorting the variables by a second attribute and wherein user-named variables (O'Leary, Fig.3, items in region 308) have a greater priority than system-named variables (O'Leary, Fig.3, items in region 304) (col.3, lines 32-33 and lines 42-44; section 304 variables inherently contain some indication differentiating them from section 308 variables accordingly user-named variables are given greater priority

Art Unit: 2174

and added to the bottom of the list separate from the system-named variables; col.4, lines 41-56); and

simultaneously presenting the user-named variables and the system-named variables (O'Leary, Fig.3, *list 300*), wherein presentment of the user-named variables and the system-named variables comprises at least one of presenting each of the user-named variables in a first region of the list (O'Leary, Fig.3, *region 308*) and each of the system-named variables in a second region of the list (O'Leary, Fig.3, *region 304*).

However, O'Leary does not explicitly teach wherein said first attribute indicates a priority of said variables and does not teach the regions to be distinct and presenting the usernamed variable in a first format and the system-named variables in a second format distinct from the first format. Sinclair teaches a method of arranging variables in a list wherein an attribute indicates a priority of the variables in the list (Sinclair, para.0040-0041). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Sinclair's teaching with O'Leary's method in order to quickly access those variables which are deemed most important.

Furthermore, the method of O'Leary and Sinclair does not teach the regions to be distinct and presenting the user-named variable in a first format and the system-named variables in a second format distinct from the first format. Siefert teaches a method of arranging variables names in a presentation list wherein there exist two distinct regions, the first region names displayed in a format different from the second region names (Siefert; Fig.5, col.3, lines 57-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Application/Control Number: 10/664,293

Art Unit: 2174

include Siefert's teaching with the method of O'Leary and Sinclair in order to visually distinguish between the variables.

As per claim 2, O'Leary teaches the method, wherein the method further comprises the step of displaying the variables when a user selects the variables using a drop-down control (O'Leary, Fig.3, clicking File drop-down control displays variables).

As per claim 4, Siefert teaches the method, wherein the step of distinguishing is accomplished using at least one among labeling and text formatting (Siefert, Fig.5, col.3, lines 57-64).

As per claim 6, O'Leary teaches the method, wherein the second attribute is a chronological order (O'Leary, col.1, lines 38-43; col.3, lines 42-45).

Claims 7 and 14 are similar in scope to claim 1, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 8 is similar in scope to claim 2, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 10 is similar in scope to claim 4, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 12 and 16 are similar in scope to claim 6, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

As per claim 13, O'Leary teaches the system, wherein the presentation list is at least one among a drop-down list and a list box (O'Leary, Fig.3, *drop-down list 300*).

5. Claims 5, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Leary et al. ("O'Leary", US 5,867,162), Sinclair et al. ("Sinclair", US 2005/0010693) and Siefert et al. ("Siefert", US 5,726,688) and further in view of Blum et al. ("Blum", US 6,901,559).

Page 5

As per claim 5, the method of O'Leary, Sinclair and Siefert teaches the method wherein the second attribute is a chronological order (O'Leary, col.1, lines 38-43; col.3, lines 42-45). However, the method of O'Leary, Sinclair and Siefert does not teach the attribute to be alphabetical order. Blum teaches a method of arranging variables in a list wherein the list is arranged in alphabetical order (Blum, col.6, lines 1-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Blum's teaching with the method of O'Leary, Sinclair and Siefert in order to organize the list for quickly locating items in the list.

Claims 11 and 15 are similar in scope to claim 5, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 07/02/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued the following:

a) O'Leary fails to disclose a method of arranging user-modified variable names in a presentation list nor disclose any type of sorting of variables.

The Examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

Per a), O'Leary does teach the arrangement of names in the list and the adjustment of the names by rearranging the variable names in section 308 as seen in col.4, lines 41-44. O'Leary clearly teaches the sorting of variables through the repositioning of names as new items are added (col.4, lines 41-44).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,293 Page 6

Art Unit: 2174

**Communications** 

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sajeda Muhebbullah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4065.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday/Thursday and alt. Mondays from 8:30 am to

5:00 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-4063.

The central fax number for the organization where correspondence for this application or

proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sajeda Muhebbullah

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2174

Bustine Vincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100